

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

COMPREHENSIVE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW

Use For Processing Grants/Cooperative Agreement Amendment Actions

I. GENERAL INFORMATION							
Applicant: Environmental Defense Fund Assistance No.: XA -83442701-3 DUNS Number: 079822748 [If DUNS was not previously received, obtain one prior to processing this action]							
Type of Action: Incremental [Complete Sections II, III, IV]							
Administrative [Complete Sections II, III, IV- Question No. 5]							
☐ No Cost Extension [Complete Sections III, IV- Question No. 5 & V]							
II. ELIGIBILTY AND PRE-AWARD CAPABILITIES REVIEW							
B 0	YES	NO	REFERENCES/NOTES				
1. Is the Applicant on the Excluded Parties List System? Website: http://www.epls.gov Date Checked: 2 7 12		\$	Award may not be made to entities in the EPLS. For more info: www.gpoaccess.gov/ecfr or 2 CFR Part 1532 . If applicant is listed, contact OGD.				
NON-PROFIT APPLICANTS	YES	NQ N/A					
2. Does the Grantee Compliance Assistance & Recipient Summary Database contain unresolved evaluation reports for this applicant?			If YES, this amendment may not be funded until reports are closed or if findings in the reports are determined to be relevant, the recipient is on track to complete the corrective action in accordance with EPA Order 5700.8, Assessing Capabilities of Non-Profit Applicants for Managing Assistance Awards and the Pre-award Guidance.				
3. Does the applicant have any overdue close-out actions?		Z □	If YES, review the applicant's records for outstanding close-outs and determine whether the backlogged close-outs are representative of a lack of administrative capability in accordance with EPA Order 5700.8, Assessing Capabilities of Non-Profit Applicants for Managing Assistance Awards and the Pre-award Guidance.				
III. CHANGE REQUEST REVIEW	YES	NO NA	REFERENCES/NOTES				
4. Are the EPA Project Officer/Approvers/Approval Official (PO) certifications current?	B		If the certifications are not current, the award may not be processed until the PO/Approvers/Approval Official have completed required certification courses.				
IV. OTHER REQUIREMENTS	YES	NO N/A	REFERENCES/NOTES				
5. Has the Administrative Baseline Monitoring Review been completed and documented in the IGMS Post-Award Database and/or the Official Grant File for this grant?	A		In accordance with EPA Order 5700. 2A2, Policy on Compliance, Review and Monitoring, Grant Specialists are required to perform and document baseline monitoring on every award on an ongoing basis, throughout the life of the agreement. Please refer to the Order for details of this requirement: EPA Order 5700.2A2				
Does this action require the signature of the Senior Resource Official? If YES, signature must be obtained prior to award		Z C	SRO concurrence is require when the total project costs are expected to be over \$5 million for continuing environmental programs and over \$1 million for project grants.				
7. Does this award require White House notification?		4	Required action for awards which exceed \$1 million in Federal funding.				

Section IV. "Other Requirements" Continued	YES	NO	N/A	REFERENCES/NOTES			
8. If this amendment includes mixed appropriations or different appropriations from prior awards, has the PO addressed the Multiple Appropriations Order?			P-	If NO, advise the PO to provide a change request comment to provide additional information addressing the Multiple Appropriations Order.			
V. BUDGET & PROJECT PERIOD EXTENSION	YES	NO	N/A	REFERENCES/NOTES			
9. Is the applicant a Part 30 recipient?				If NO, approval is required by the EPA Award Official.			
Did the recipient notify the Award Official in writing with the supporting reasons and revised expiration date at least 10 days before the Budget and Project Period expiration date specified in the award document?				The time extension may not be for the sole purpose of expending any remaining balance of Federal funds. Examples of justifications include: adverse weather, resource constraints, personnel changes, procurement issues, etc. Recipient may request a one time B&P			
				extension for up to 12 months without prior approval.			
10. Is the applicant a Part 31 recipient?				The time extension may not be for the sole purpose of expending any remaining balance of Federal funds. Examples of justifications include: adverse weather, resource constraints, personnel changes, procurement issues, etc.			
11. Is the proposed timeframe for the project within the Statutory/Regulatory/Policy time constraint requirements?				Check the Statutory/Regulatory/Competitive Announcement/CFDA limitations.			
12. Is the proposed budget period within the time limit constraints imposed by the Office of Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Policy 91-11 and GPI 08-02?				Budget and Project period timeframes may not exceed limitations imposed by OCFO 91-11, Budget & Financial Accounting Policy & Procedures for Implementation of Revised M Accounts Legislation & GPI 08-02: OCFO 91-11 (M Accounts) & GPI 08-02 (7yrs. policy)			
13. Is the time extension approved by the EPA Project Officer?				If NO, enter the date recipient was notified:			
VI. ADDITIONAL FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS NEEDED							
Issues/Notes/Date Resolved:							
APPROVAL SECTION							
This Amended Application for Federal Assistance has been reviewed for administrative compliance with statutory, regulatory, policy and delegated authorities. Signature pelow indicates, in the reviewers opinion, the application complies with the administrative requirements for award of assistance.							
Grant Specialist	Morant Specialistics 8 07/12 Date						
INTERNAL CONTROL REVIEW (Optional)							
A Quality Control Review was made of the application review to ensure it complies with the statutory, regulatory delegated and policy requirements for award Signature below indicates the reviewer supports the funding decision for this Application for Federal Assistance.							
Reviewer	Date						
Last Revised: May 1, 2009 National Comprehensive Administrative Review Document.							